

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,500	12/19/2001	Gary W. Grube	276440-24	2490
75	90 04/23/2003			
O'Melveny & Myers, LLP			EXAMINER	
400 S. Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071			EVERHART, CARIDAD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2825	
		DATE MAIL ED: 04/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- ·- ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/			
Offic Acti n Summary		10/028,500	GRUBE ET AL.	1			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Caridad M. Everhart	2825				
The M Period f r Reply	AILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTEN THE MAILING Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO If the period for If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL 3 DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1)☐ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on	· .					
2a)∐ This a	ction is FINAL. 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of C							
•	s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
,	Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 21-30</u> is/are allowed.						
•—	6) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected.						
	s) is/are objected to.	to a charatha a considerance est					
8) Claim(s Application Pap	s) are subject to restriction and/ ers	or election requirement.					
,	cification is objected to by the Examin						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
<i>,</i> —	o)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	Certified copies of the priority docume		ian Na				
	Certified copies of the priority docume						
	Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of Draft	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				
C. Datast and Todamark Of	fice						

Application/Control Number: 10/028,500

Art Unit: 2825

## Claim R j cti ns - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 14 contains the trademark/trade name SU-8. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a type of photoresist and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/028,500

Art Unit: 2825

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smela, et al ("Smela")(US 6,103,399) in view of Khoury, et al. ("Khoury")(US 6,452,407B2).

Smela discloses a method for making microstructures comprising the steps of depositing a release layer, which may be aluminum(col. 1, lines 7-15 and col. 2, lines 1-5), depositing and patterning metal layers, removing the release layer to release the microstructure(col. 10, lines 28-45). Smela also discloses that the microstructure can be patterned by deposition through a mask(col. 10, lines 57-64 and col. 11, lines 1-40).

Smela is silent with respect to the details of the steps of deposition through a mask.

Khoury is relied upon for its teaching of the steps in the method of deposition through a mask. Khoury discloses the deposition of a seed layer(col. 7, lines 10-15),

the deposition of a photoresist layer and the patterning of the layer(col. 7, lines 33-46), plating of metal(col. 7, lines 44-47).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have combined the teachings of Khoury with those of Smela because Smela discloses that the deposition through a mask may be used in the process taught by Smela.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-10 and 21-30 are allowed.

The prior art of record does not teach or suggest the claimed method of removing a spring contact and bonding a replacement spring contact.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caridad M. Everhart whose telephone number is 703-308-3455. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridays 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 703-308-1323. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

4-17-03